

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE AGENDA
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 30, 2004

- CALL TO ORDER

MINUTES:

PRESENT: COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF and COUNCILMAN WOLFSON

Also Present: DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED, and DEPUTY CITY CLERK GABRIELA S. PORTILLO-BRENNER

- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW

MINUTES:

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE - meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

City Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall Plaza, 2nd Floor Skybridge

Court Clerk's Office Bulletin Board, City Hall Plaza

Las Vegas Library, 833 Las Vegas Boulevard North

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway

Grant Sawyer Building, 555 E. Washington Avenue

(4:05 - 4:06)

1-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 30, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐ **CONSENT**

☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2004-73 - Establishes the means for allowing the use "pet boarding" in certain commercial and industrial districts. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact:

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Under current City zoning regulations, pet boarding is allowed only in conjunction with an animal hospital or clinic. This bill would allow the use on a "freestanding" basis by means of special use permit in the C-1 and C-2 Zoning Districts, and as a conditional use in the C-M and M Zoning Districts.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2004-73
2. Submitted at meeting: written comments of Tom McGowan

MOTION:

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON recommended Bill 2004-73 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, reported that approximately two years ago the pet-boarding category was taken out of the code due to issues that came up at that time. But staff is finding that pet boarding is allowed presently only in conjunction with a veterinary clinic. A couple of requests have been submitted; one was for a doggy overnight care facility. There is also a location with a pet shop that would like to offer overnight boarding. Both of these uses are not presently permitted by code. This bill would allow both of these services, by special use permit in the C-1 and C-2 zones and conditional in C-M and M. The bill includes a restriction requiring any exterior pens located adjacent to a residential parcel to be located a minimum of 50 feet from the parcel. He recommended approval.

TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, submitted his written comments in opposition to this matter. A copy of which is included in the backup.

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON confirmed with MR. GENZER that applicants would still have to obtain a business license and any necessary permits. MR. GENZER added that under the present code the category of pet boarding does not independently exist. A daycare service for pets has been allowed

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 30, 2004

MINUTES - Continued:

under the pet shop category. But the only availability of overnight boarding is through a veterinary clinic.

COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:06 - 4:11)

1-6

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 30, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐ **CONSENT**

☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2004-74 - Expands the allowability of mixed-use developments in the C-1 and C-2 Zoning Districts, and allow such developments by means of special use permit in the C-PB Zoning District. Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact:

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Mixed-use developments are presently allowed by means of special use permit in the C-1 and C-2 Zoning Districts, but only in the Neighborhood Revitalization Area, and are not permitted in the C-PB Zoning District. This bill would allow mixed-use developments by means of special use permit in all C-1, C-2 and C-PB Zoning Districts. (NOTE: The adoption of this bill will depend on the approval of a corresponding amendment to the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.)

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2004-74
2. Submitted at meeting: written comments of Tom McGowan

MOTION:

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON recommended Bill 2004-74 be held in ABEYANCE to the 12/14/2004 Recommending Committee meeting. COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, indicated that this bill would allow some form of mixed-use development outside of the downtown revitalization area, which is the only place it can occur under the present code, other than Town Center, with its own separate standards. However, an issue came up regarding height restrictions, if any, in the CP-B, C-1, and C-2 districts. Staff has been struggling with this issue for a number of months. The Planning Commission and staff recommended denial on this matter, because it could open the door for potential high-rise mixed-use projects outside the downtown area. MR. GENZER requested abeyance for two weeks to allow staff to continue to work on the height issue. Staff will come back with a recommendation at the next Recommending Committee meeting, and the bill could be adopted at the second Council meeting in December. COUNCILMAN WOLFSON indicated that he too is concerned about the height issue and supported further exploration.

TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, submitted his written comments in opposition to this matter. A

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 30, 2004

MINUTES - Continued:

copy of which is included in the backup.

COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:11 - 4:16)

1-171

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 30, 2004

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐ **CONSENT**

☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2004-75 - Provides for the relocation of off-premise signs that are required to be removed in connection with the installation of publicly-funded improvements. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact:

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City's zoning regulations currently do not address the relocation of off-premise signs that are required to be removed in connection with the installation of publicly-funded improvements. This bill will allow the relocation of such signs to be approved administratively under certain circumstances, subject to conditions designed to minimize any impacts associated with sign relocation.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2004-75
2. Submitted at meeting: written comments of Tom McGowan

MOTION:

COUNCILMAN WOLFSON recommended Bill 2004-75 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, stated that this bill deals with situations created by projects, such as the widening of I-95, where right-of-way acquisition prompts the removal of a billboard. The bill puts into effect a process that allows for signs that are deemed to be non-conforming to be relocated on the same property and establishes criteria by which that can occur. It also allows administrative approval of the relocation. He recommended approval.

TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, submitted his written comments recommending the Council and the Recommending Committee members review all bills for potential adverse impacts to the citizens of this community. A copy of which is included in the backup.

COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:16 - 4:20)

1-330

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 30, 2004

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION: PUBLIC COMMENT DURING THIS PORTION OF THE AGENDA MUST BE LIMITED TO MATTERS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE COMMITTEE. NO SUBJECT MAY BE ACTED UPON BY THE COMMITTEE UNLESS THAT SUBJECT IS ON THE AGENDA AND IS SCHEDULED FOR ACTION. IF YOU WISH TO BE HEARD, COME TO THE PODIUM AND GIVE YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD. THE AMOUNT OF DISCUSSION ON ANY SINGLE SUBJECT, AS WELL AS THE AMOUNT OF TIME ANY SINGLE SPEAKER IS ALLOWED, MAY BE LIMITED

MINUTES:

TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, submitted his written comments regarding several concerns. A copy of which is included in the backup. He noted that when he speaks at a public forum he is not addressing the members personally, but is speaking to the government body and the public employees. He does not condone what persons on trial have done, but he also does not condone singling out one person while allowing others to go. He expects the same treatment of everyone.

(4:20 - 4:28)

1-440

THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 4:28 P.M.

Respectfully submitted:

GABRIELA S. PORTILLO-BRENNER, DEPUTY CITY CLERK
December 9, 2004

citro-patri

$$\therefore \angle (3 \text{ min } \pi) = \dots$$

- Tom McGowan, Las Vegas Resident.

"Over the past several years, and increasingly in recent months, many, not in instances, all residents of the City of Las Vegas have been adversely impacted by seemingly 'Bleign' and purportedly 'Beneficent' Redevelopment projects and other initiatives noted upon in the current Mayor and City Council, to the extent that:-

1. Results of the World 1. Legal Committee of the District have been disrupted and distorted in consequence of LSI's last agitation, property destruction and generally immoral work with High rise developments, coupled with the absence of public transit services manifested by the limited running of 'city rise' trolley bus and the permanent closure of the AFOS 'cat' bus in preference to the non-essential, 'monorail' system, the fatal Westchester collapse and the apparently failing Pennsylvania District MTA, ~ all of which official actions serve the political, bureaucratic and private financial interests by dis-service to the GAPI, and at taxpayers' public expense.

As recently noted by ^a ~~major~~ ^{LV SW} Reporter, The ^(FBI) ^(personal opinion) Force according to ^{long} ~~him~~, 'Hornel' person writing to me fed at N Las Vegas Rescue Mission, CAN BE RESOLVED AT VISA TO COST & to the city's expenditure of TAKING IN POLICE FORCE, -- In fact, THE CITY AND THE COUNTY HAVE RECEIVED MULTIPLE MILLIONS ^{\$ millions} in Federal & State funds as private donations, BUT HAVE INTENTIONALLY FAILED TO RESOLVE THE ISSUE OF HORNEL'S, AND INSTEAD ARE ENGAGED IN THE HOUSE OF POWER designed and intended to cause the mass expulsion under THE GENOCIDE ^(disintegrated) of Human beings expediently demand her worship of the right to Life ^{not} THEMSELVES.

On 6 June, 2001, ~ THE 53rd Anniversary of the D Day Landing at Normandy, in 1944, ~
 The current Mayor and City Council created the public/private multi-racial international
 entity, Interceptively & Interestingly not - Realized as 'The Las Vegas Centennial Celebration
 Committee (LSC 2000) and proceeded to engage in Reported & multiple violations of state
Constitutional and statutory law, on a nationally unrepresented source, passed to the unscrupulous theft, concealment, out-sourcing and
violation of the National Antiquities and Inalienable Right of ALL residents of THE City of Las Vegas,
past, current and potential, to play, work, and actively participate in their Centennial
Celebration of THE City of Las Vegas, violation of ALL-man's property and permission before the actual
action in the present world. These violations have caused the loss of immense
loss and the foremost & alternative financial resources of billions & trillions in revenue
and other socio-economic benefits for ALL residents of THE City of Las Vegas.

-- (7m/Redg) cont'd ~...

IN SUMMARY:- THERE ARE SEVEN (7) SEATED AND VOTING MEMBERS ON THE LAS VEGAS CITY COUNCIL AND COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, AND THERE, ITS IMPERATIVE FOR THE FAIR-MINDED, INDEPENDENT AND RESPONSIBLE MEMBERS OF CITY GOVERNMENT TO SEPARATELY RECOGNIZE:-

- GOVERNMENT WITHOUT INTEGRITY IS WORTHLESS;
- THE KEY TERM IN THE PHRASE: 'COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT' IS NOT 'DEVELOPMENT' BUT: 'COMMUNITY';
- COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND REDEVELOPMENT ISN'T A SERIES OF LIMITED INCREMENTAL PROJECTS OCCASIONED WITHIN A LIMITED FINITE TERM; ~ IT'S A COHERENTLY MASTER PLANNED AS COMPREHENSIVELY STRENGTHENED AND DYNAMICALLY EVOLVING 'HOLISTIC' PROCESS, ON GOING IN CONTINUUM, IN THE GENUINE BEST PUBLIC AND PRIVATE INTEREST, INCLUSIVELY. IT'S NOT ABOUT PROPERTIES, STRUCTURES AND INFRASTRUCTURES. IT'S ABOUT PEOPLE, AND POSTERITY, AND THE CONSTITUTIONALLY GUARANTEED SECURE RIGHT OF ALL CITY RESIDENTS TO ENJOY EQUAL ACCESS TO THE RIGHT TO LIFE AND TO THE ATTAINMENT OF A HIGHER QUALITY OF LIFE, IN THE RESPECTIVE AND MUTUAL BEST INTEREST, INCLUSIVELY.
- FULL AND OPEN PUBLIC DISCLOSURE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN THE DEMOCRATIC PROCESS AT THE PRE-DECISION STAGE IS CATEGORICALLY IMPERATIVE TO THE PROVIDED RESPONSIBLE PEOPLE GOVERNMENT IN THE GB PUBLIC INTEREST. CONSEQUENTLY, PUBLIC CENSORSHIP IS THE FIRST STEP OF PETTY DICTATORSHIP.
- A CERTAIN CELEBRATION IS NOT A LIMITED-INTEREST EXCLUSIVITY TRAVEL, SELF-PROMOTIVE AND SELF-AGGRANDIZING 'EXCLUSIVITY PARTY' FOR ANY ELECTED OR APPOINTED PUBLIC OFFICIAL(S). IT'S A 'ONCE-IN-A-LIFETIME' OPPORTUNITY AND RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS TO EXERCISE THEIR INALIENABLE RIGHT TO EXHIBIT THEIR ^{INTERESTS} HISTORY AND THEIR UNIQUELY INDEPENDENT, CREATIVE AND APPEALING PEOPLE AND PERSONA TO AN OBSERVANT WORLD AND THE ENTIRE WORLD, AND FOR ANY PUBLIC OFFICIAL(S) TO DEFEAT THAT INALIENABLE RIGHT TO LOCAL RESIDENTS BY ENFORCING 'EXCLUSIVITY' TO ANY NEW LOCAL RESIDENT PUBLIC AND PRIVATE ENTITIES IS A HEINOUS AND LOCAL PUBLIC-UNACCEPTABLE CRIME OF HISTORICALLY UNPRECEDENTED MAGNITUDE AND PUBLIC-IMPACTIVE CONSEQUENCE.

I REQUEST RESPONSIBLE MEMBERS OF THE LAS VEGAS CITY COUNCIL DILIGENTLY

.. (TM/Reedy) ^{anti} ..

MONITOR AND ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THOSE GUIDING PRINCIPLES BY ALL MEMBERS
OF THE LV CITY COUNCIL, CRD AGENCY AND COST CEEB COMMITTEE, RATHER THAN
GIVE RISE TO THE APPEARANCE, IN THE PUBLIC PERCEPTION, ^(POSSIBLE) OF TACIT COMPLICITY
WITH THE UNLAWFUL ACTS AND OMISSIONS OF PUBLIC OFFICIALS WHO ABUSE POWER
AND WHO VIOLATE THE PUBLIC TRUST.

THE VERBATIM TEXT OF MY ENTIRE PUBLIC COMMENT IS SUBMITTED AND REQUESTED
INCLUSION IN THE MINUTES OF TODAY'S MEETING IN COMPLIANCE WITH NRS 241,
THE NEVADA OPEN MEETING LAW.

(SUBMITTED)

Thank you.

70
11
81
162